UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,611	11/21/2001	Lorraine Faxon Meisner	121753-1005	4194

7590 12/18/2001

Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP
Suite 3000
1601 Elm Street
Dallas, TX 75201

EXAMINER
CHOI, FRANK I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	2

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/990,611	Applicant(s) MEISNER, LORRAINE FAXON	
	Examiner Frank I Choi	Art Unit 1616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____ .

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____ .

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15)☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the disclosed process of pretreating and/or stabilizing the ascorbic acid in the disclosed amounts and temperatures, does not reasonably provide enablement for other methods of pretreating and/or stabilizing the ascorbic acid. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The Specification does not appear to disclose any other method of stabilizing and/or pretreating ascorbic acid. As such, one of ordinary skill in the art would be required to due undue experimentation in order to determine what other methods would be suitable for pretreating and/or stabilizing the ascorbic acid which results in the same or similar characteristics of the disclosed invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are the process steps by which the ascorbic acid is stabilized and/or pretreated. The Specification explicitly discloses that between 10% and 50% of the ascorbic acid is pretreated and/or stabilized by heating a specified concentration of ascorbic acid

at a specified temperature range (Pg. 7, lines 15-30). The Specification does not appear to disclose or suggest alternative methods of pretreating and/or stabilizing the ascorbic acid, as such, the process appears to be critical to the invention and should be included in the claims. Further, it appears that a pH of 3.5 or above also appear to be critical to the invention (Pg. 9, lines 6-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

> A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
>
> (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schinitsky et al. in view of Murad and Herstein.

Schinitsky et al. teach a composition and method to reduce epidermal wrinkling resulting from photo-aging comprising ascorbic acid (about 2-20%), tyrosine (about 1-10%) and zinc sulfate (about 0.5-5%) in a pharmaceutically acceptable vehicle, for example, hydrophilic lotion, ointment, cream or gel, which is applied once or twice daily (Column 2, lines 38-53, Column 4, lines 34-45, Claims 1, 2).

Murad teaches a composition for treatment of skin overexposed to sunlight and wrinkles comprising a sugar, such a N-acetylglucoseamine or glucoseamine, amino acids, such as cysteine, methionine or N-acetyl cysteine, ascorbic acid, and a zinc compound, such as zinc sulfate (Column 4, lines 62-68, Columns 5, 6, Column 7, lines 30-41, Column 9, lines 3-7). It is taught that the composition may be formulated as a cream, paste, gel, ointment, solution or suspension in an aqueous liquid, oil-in-water emulsion or a water-in-oil emulsion by any methods of pharmacy which can be applied topically (Column 8, lines 43-49, Column 9, lines 34-45). It is taught that the sugar and amino acids assist in thickening the dermis and supplementing collagen and elastic tissues which reduces wrinkling and lines (Column 5, lines 5-18). It is taught that the addition of ascorbic acid inhibits collagenase and elastase, enzymes which break down collagen and elastic tissues, and assist in the reducing the occurrence of additional wrinkles and facilitate the healing of skin tissues (Column 5, lines 18-22). It is taught that zinc binds collagen fibers and inhibits elastase, an enzyme that also breaks down collagen and elastic tissue (Column 5, lines 22-24).

Herstein teaches that a pH within 3.5 to 4.1 is preferred to facilitate entry of ascorbic acid into the skin and stabilize the ascorbic acid molecule and a two part composition wherein the ascorbic acid is kept separate until point of use (Column 2, lines 40-47, Column 10, lines 6-17).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose a topical composition comprising at least about 5.0% (w/v) pretreated ascorbic acid, water. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as it is known to separate the ascorbic acid prior to addition into the composition. As such, it would have been well within the skill of and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to modify the prior art

as above with the expectation that the combination of said components would effectively treat and reduce wrinkles and increase the stability of the ascorbic acid present within the composition.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington,* 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,217.914 and provisionally over claims 32-51 of U.S. Pat. App. 09/732,385. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the Application claims and Patent claims methods of treating damaged skin with compositions containing containing water, zinc salt and tyrosine having a pH of about 3.6 to about 4.2 and the Patent claims teach that the compositions can contain zinc sulfate or sulfur-containing or aminosugar anti-inflammatory compounds.

Conclusion

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier numbers for accessing the facsimile machines are (703) 308-4556 or (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-0067. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. José Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC
December 14, 2001

JOHN PAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1600